are still list II and what are the drug having huge turnover but are still out side Category-II; and

(e) what steps have been taken to rectify the position?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) Yes, Sir. 26 drugs have been recently excluded.

(b) No Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) and (e) Sales turnover of drugs is not monitored.

Permission to Maharashtra Government for Setting up a Petrochemical Complex

688. Dr. BAPU KALDATE: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Maharashtra Government has sought permission from the Union Government to implement its petrochemical complex at Dhamankhol near Jaigad Port (Ratnagiri);

(b) whether the Union Government have since permitted the Maharashtra Government to manufacture LDPE, LLDPE, HDPE, Ethylene Oxide etc. and;

(c) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) A letter of intent was issued to M/s. Finolex Pipes Pvt. Ltd. on 13-6-88 for the setting up of a project for the manufacture of 1,00,000 tpa of PVC at Jaigad in Maharashtra.

(b) and (c) No Sir.

Inclusion of certain drugs under DPCO, 1987

689. SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1587 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 8th May, 1989 and state:

(a) whether Government have since examined the Supplementary Report on Kelkar Committee regarding inclusion of certain drugs under DPCO, 1987 ; and

(b) if so, by when a decision is likely to be taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) and (b) A decision in the matter will be taken by the Government soon.

690. [*Transferred to the 28th July*, 1989]

Oil Pipeline from Kandla Port to Bhatinda

691. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to set up a 1300 km long oil pipe line from Kandla Port to Bhatinda in Punjab;

(b) if so, what is the estimated cost thereof; and

(c) whether Government have considered any alternative mode of

transportation of oil and oil products and if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI BRAHM DUTT); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The project is estimated to cost Rs. 772·97 crores.

(c) The economics of transportation of petroleum products through pipeline and by rail by augmenting the railway facilities were considered and the option of transportation of the product through pipeline was found to be more economical.

Overcharging on Glybenclamide

692. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 140 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 24th April, 1989 and state:

(a) What price was fixed under DPCO, 1979 for Glybenclamide and when it was fixed ;

(b) when the price was revised and what was the revised price:

(c) whether it is a fact that the price was fixed and revised with rederence to their latest costs at that time:

(d) what are the rices taken as difference for working out the overcharging;

(e) what was the yearwise production taken into account;

(f) what is the amount worked out and upto what period; and

(g) what is the period upto which the amount is to be worked out as per the Supreme Court Judgement and DPCO, 1987?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) The price fixed for Glybenclamide bulk drug under DPCO, 1979 was Rs. 2458·00 per kg.

(b) and (c) The price was not revised subsequently under the provisions of DPCO. This bulk drug has been exempted from price control with effect from 18-1-1989.

(d) to (g) The required details to the extent available would be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Price control on non essential drugs

693. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU : Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state :

(a) whether is a fact that Kelkar Committee adopted a criteria that if the drug is not in WHO list, the same can be price decontrolled ;

(b) whether it is also a fact that there are certain drugs which are under price control and which are not covered under WHO essential list;

(c) if so, what are the names of those drugs ; and

(d) what are the reasons for inclusion of the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL) : (a) No, Sir. No such general criterion was adopted by the Committee.